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#### Abstract

The di(allyl) sulfide complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(\mathbf{4}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-} ; \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathbf{a}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} / \mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $t$-BuOK (THF, $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) give thiolates $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{( } \mathrm{CR}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)-$ $\left.\mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)(5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 95-90 \%$ ) as $93: 7,98: 2$, and $93: 7$ mixtures of $S S, R R / S R, R S \mathrm{Re}, S C$ diastereomers. Pure enantiomers (S) $-\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$give $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ as $93: 7, \geq 99.3: 0.7$, and $97: 3$ SS/SR mixtures ( $85-79 \%$ ). Reactions with MeOTf yield $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}{ }_{2} \mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(95-89 \%)$, which are treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$  $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mathbf{9}, 93-78 \% ;>98 \%\right.$ ee). Complex $(S)-9$ can be recycled to $(S)-4 \mathrm{a}-\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}-$ in $2-3$ steps. Analogous sequences with 5a,b and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ give $\left.\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}{ }_{2} \mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$ ( $97-79 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}{ }_{2} \mathrm{CR}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CR}=\mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\left(11 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, 82-77 \% ; 93: 7,>99:<1 S / R\right.$ from ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$). Similar $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~d})$ and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHR}\right)_{2}\left(E ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{f}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} ; \mathbf{g}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ complexes give thiolates $\mathbf{5 d}-\mathbf{g}$ as comparable $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{SC}$ diastereomer mixtures. However, $\mathbf{5 e - g}$ contain new SCC stereocenters, and only $\mathbf{5 f}$ gives high selectivity (89:11). The pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complex $\mathbf{4 a - M e}{ }_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$yields $\mathbf{5 a - M e}{ }_{5}$ of opposite stereochemistry (7:93 SS,RR/SR,RS). Crystal structures of (S)-4a ${ }^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$(triclinic, $a 99.800(2), b 10.516(2), c 16.152(3)$, $\alpha 93.20(2), \beta 107.16(2), \gamma 81.57(2)$, (SS)-5a (monoclinic, $a 9.881(2), b 12.483(3), c 10.877(2), \beta 100.23(2))$, (SRR,RSS)5 (triclinic, a 9.578(3), b 14.019(5), c 15.999(4), a 93.22(3), $\beta$ 97.83(3), $\gamma$ 107.63(3)), and (SR,RS)-5a-Me5 (monoclinic, $a 88.780(2), b 17.379(4), c 20.801(3), \beta 92.49(2)$ ) establish the configurations given above. The mechanism of diastereoselection is analyzed in detail.


Sulfur ylides with allyl or related substituents undergo rapid [ 2,3 ] sigmatropic rearrangements to give sulfides or thioethers. ${ }^{1}$ This carbon-carbon bond forming reaction usually generates a new carbon stereocenter and is extensively utilized in organic synthesis. The ylides are most commonly accessed by deprotonations of sulfonium salts. Surprisingly, there are only two cases in which sulfides have been generated in an enantioselective manner from sulfonium salts that lack resolved carbon stereocenters. ${ }^{2}$ Both are illustrated in Scheme 1 and were reported over 20 years ago by Trost. One involves a sulfonium salt with a resolved sulfur stereocenter and gives a sulfide of high enantiomeric purity. The other involves an achiral sulfonium salt and a chiral solvent and base and gives a sulfide of low enantiomeric purity.
The methodology in Scheme 1 is obviously of limited generality or effectiveness. We though that sulfur-bound chiral auxiliaries might be able to efficiently control the carbon configurations of the products. Curiously, such approaches have not been previously investigated. ${ }^{3}$ Since sulfides readily coordinate to transition metals, we viewed chiral metal fragments as particularly promising. Although numerous candidates exist, extensive studies from our laboratory have established that

[^0]Scheme 1. Enantioselective Syntheses of Sulfides via Deprotonation and Rearrangement of Allylic Sulfonium Salts that Lack Carbon Stereocenters

adducts of Lewis bases and the chiral rhenium Lewis acid [ $\left(\eta^{5}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathbf{I})$ are easily prepared in enantiomerically pure form.
In this paper, we report that $\mathbf{I}$ serves as a readily recycled auxiliary for the conversion of achiral, symmetrical di(allyl) and di(propargyl) sulfides to chiral, rearranged sulfides of high enantiomeric purities. In particular, alkoxide bases deprotonate the cationic adducts to sulfur ylides that undergo rapid [2,3] sigmatropic bond shifts at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To our knowledge, this constitutes the first time that such processes have been effected in a metal coordination sphere. Mechanistic and structural data

[^1]Scheme 2. Syntheses of Di(allyl) and Di(propargyl) Sulfide Complexes ${ }^{9}$

that help rationalize the dominant carbon configurations are also described. A small portion of this work has been communicated. ${ }^{4}$

## Results

1. Syntheses of Sulfide Complexes. Functional equivalents of the chiral Lewis acid I were prepared as summarized in Scheme 2. First, the readily available, air-stable racemic methyl complex $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(1)^{5}$ and triflic acid (TfOH) were reacted to give the triflate complex $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}$ $(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})(2) .{ }^{6}$ Alternatively, 1 and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ were combined in chlorobenzene $\left(-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to generate the chlorobenzene complex $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\left(3^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right){ }^{7}$ Subsequent additions of the di(allyl) or di(propargyl) sulfides listed in Scheme 2 (ca. 1.5 equiv) gave the air stable sulfide complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SR}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}\left(4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}\right)$ in $86-66 \%$ yields. These, and other new compounds below, were characterized by IR, NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ), and microanalysis, unless noted. Data are summarized in the Experimental Section. Most properties were similar to those of dialkyl sulfide complexes of I, which were analogously prepared earlier. ${ }^{8}$

Nonracemic complexes were sought for enantioselective syntheses detailed below. Accordingly, the methyl complex (S)-1 (>99\%ee) ${ }^{5}$ was similarly converted to the triflate complex ( $R$ )-1 and the di(allyl) and di(methallyl) sulfide complexes ( $S$ )$4 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-9} .{ }^{9}$ However, these were less crystalline than the racemates and more difficult to purify. Thus, $(S)-1$ was converted to the chlorobenzene complex ${ }^{7}(S)-3^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and then $(S)-\mathbf{4 a}-\mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$. These tetrafluoroborate salts could be isolated as analytically pure powders in $80-70 \%$ yields. Configurations (retention) were assigned by analogy to other substitution reactions of 2 and $3^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-6,7}$ and were confirmed crystallographically below.

[^2]Scheme 3. Generation and Rearrangement of Rhenium-Substituted Sulfur Ylides ${ }^{9}$


The diastereotopic $\mathrm{SR}_{2}$ groups in $\mathbf{4}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$gave only one set of NMR signals at ambient temperature. Data with deuterated complexes (below) excluded rapid ligand dissociation. As analyzed earlier, ${ }^{8}$ such $\mathrm{SR}_{2}$ group exchange requires both sulfur inversion and rhenium-sulfur bond rotation. Low temperature NMR spectra of $\mathbf{4 b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$established an inversion/rotation barrier of $9.4-9.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}, 199-202 \mathrm{~K}\right) .{ }^{10}$ The dimethyl sulfide complex of I gives a similar value. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, sulfide complexes $4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$have much lower sulfur inversion barriers than organic sulfonium salts. ${ }^{11}$
2. $[2,3]$ Sigmatropic Rearrangements. As summarized in Scheme 3, THF solutions of the racemic sulfide complexes 4a$\mathbf{d}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 equiv) were combined at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were complete in less than 1 min , as assayed in separate NMR experiments. No intermediates were detected. Workups gave the air-stable thiolate complexes ( $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ )Re(NO) $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCHR}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)(5 a-\mathrm{d})$ in $95-90 \%$ yields as $93: 7,98$ : 2, 93:7, and 87:13 mixtures of $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}$ configurational diastereomers. ${ }^{12}$ These transformations were presumed to involve the intermediate ylides 6 (Scheme 3), which have sulfur stereocenters, and subsequent [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements. The transfer of chirality to the new carbon stereocenters is strikingly efficient and analyzed further below. Identical diastereomer ratios were obtained from crude samples and in situ analyses of NMR tube reactions.
Analogous reactions of the nonracemic sulfide complexes ( $S$ )$4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $t$-BuOK gave the thiolates $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ in $85-79 \%$
(10) Sandström, J. Dynamic NMR Spectroscopy; Academic Press: New York, 1982; Chapters 6 and 7. The calculation utilized eq 7.4b, a $\mathrm{T}_{C}$ of $199-202 \mathrm{~K}$, and a $\delta v$ of $103.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ resonances).
(11) Anderson, K. K. In The Chemistry of the Sulphonium Group; Stirling, C. J. M., Patai, S., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1981; Chapter 10.
(12) Diastereomer ratios were determined by integration of the following NMR signals: $\mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$, and $\mathbf{1 0 a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$; $\mathbf{5 g}$, $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHPh}^{13} \mathrm{C} ; 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$, average of five ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances; $7 \mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}, \eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$; $8 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$; 8f, average of SCH and $\mathrm{CHCCH}_{3}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and SCH , four $=\mathrm{C}$, and two $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Except for $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}, 5 \mathrm{e}, 7 \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}, 8 \mathrm{e}$ and (SS)$10 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}$, all ratios were obtained from at least two independently prepared samples. With $\mathbf{5 g},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR suggested slightly different ratios than ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (69:14:11:6 vs $77: 13: 10$ or $72: 15: 14$ ).

Scheme 4. Reaction Sequences Starting with Di(allyl) Sulfide Complexes That Have Unsymmetrically Substituted Allyl Termini ${ }^{9}$


| Reactant | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Yield. $5$ | Diastereomer Ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield, } \\ & 7+\text { TiO. } \end{aligned}$ | Diastereomer Ratioc | Yield. 8 | Diastereomer Ratio | Yield. 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4{ }^{+}+740^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 87\% | 52a ${ }^{\text {a }} 45: 2: 1$ | 85\% | 50á:47.5:1.5:1 | 79\% | 52 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ :48 | 89\% |
| $4 \mathrm{f}^{+} \mathrm{TH}$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 87\% | 88 ${ }^{\text {b }} 11: 1:<0.5$ | 92\% | $94^{\text {b }}: 6:<0.5:<0.5$ | 54\% | $94^{\oplus}: 6$ | 84\% |
| $4 \mathrm{~g}^{+} \mathrm{TH} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ | Ph | 89\% | 69 ${ }^{\text {b }} 14: 11: 6$ | -. | -- | .- | .. | .- |

Table 1. Summary of Crystallographic Data ${ }^{a}$

| complex | (S) $-4 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ | (SS)-5a | (SRR,RSS)-5f | $(S R, R S)-5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NOPReSSb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NOPReS}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NOPReS} \cdot \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39}$ NOPReS |
| molecular weight | 893.547 | 656.799 | 889.401 | 726.934 |
| crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | P1 (no. 1) | $P 2_{1}$ (no. 4) | $P \overline{1}$ (no. 2) | $C_{c}$ (no. 9) |
| $a, \AA$ | $9.800(2)$ | 9.881(2) | 9.578(3) | 8.780(2) |
| $b, \AA$ | 10.517(2) | 12.483(3) | 14.019(5) | 17.379(4) |
| c, $\AA$ | 16.152(3) | 10.877(2) | 15.999(4) | 20.801 (3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 93.20(2) |  | 93.22(3) |  |
| $\beta$, deg | 107.16(2) | 100.23(2) | 97.83(3) | 92.49(2) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 81.57(2) |  | 107.63(3) |  |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 1573.31 | 1320.33 | 2017.50 | 2472.60 |
| $Z$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.886 | 1.652 | 1.464 | 1.523 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {obs }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | $1.86\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ | $1.65\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ | $1.47\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ | $1.53\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ |
| crystal dimensions, mm | $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.12$ | $0.43 \times 0.41 \times 0.34$ | $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ | $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.25$ |
| reflens measd | 5909 | 4214 | 6711 | 3106 |
| range/indices ( $h, k, l$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { to } 11,-11 \text { to } 12, \\ -19 \text { to } 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } 13,0 \text { to } 17, \\ & -15 \text { to }+15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } 10,-15 \text { to } 14, \\ & -17 \text { to } 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { to } 10,0 \text { to } 20, \\ -24 \text { to } 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| scan width, deg |  |  | $0.80+0.34 \tan \theta$ | $0.80+0.34 \tan \theta$ |
| $2 \theta$ limit, deg | 4.0-50.0 | 4.0-60.0 | 4.0-48.0 | 4.0-50.0 |
| total unique data | 5530 | 4009 | 6282 | 2799 |
| obsd data, $I>3 \sigma(I)$ | 5295 | 3607 | 5233 | 2565 |
| abs coeff, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 49.32 | 48.21 | 33.69 | 40.22 |
| min transmission, \% | 69.04 | 77.25 | 69.11 | 85.81 |
| max transmission, \% | 99.81 | 99.99 | 99.96 | 99.90 |
| no. of variables | 736 | 304 | 419 | 350 |
| goodness of fit | 1.31 | 1.70 | 2.21 | 1.70 |
| $R=\Sigma\| \| F_{0}\left\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right\| / \Sigma\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|$ | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.055 | 0.036 |
| $R_{w}=\Sigma\| \| F_{o}\left\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right\| w^{1 / 2} / \Sigma\left\|F_{o}\right\| w^{1 / 2}$. | 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.075 | 0.050 |
| $\Delta / \sigma$ (max) | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.016 | 0.007 |
| $\Delta \rho$ (max), e/ $\AA^{3}$ | 0.939 | $1.125^{b}$ | $1.687^{\circ}$ | 0.704 |

[^3]

Figure 1. Structures of the two crystallographically independent cations of the di(allyl) sulfide complex ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$. Ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level, except for $\mathrm{C} 26^{\prime}$ which is depicted at the $25 \%$ probability level.
tivities in Scheme 3, we sought to probe the conformations of the sulfide ligands in $\mathbf{4}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$. However, the rapid exchange of $\mathrm{SR}_{2}$ groups complicates NMR approaches. Thus, crystal structures were attempted. Suitable crystals of the nonracemic complex ( $S$ )- $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$could not be obtained. However, the corresponding hexafluoroantimonate salt ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$, which was prepared by metathesis, readily crystallized. The structure was determined as outlined in Table 1. Refinement, described in the Experimental Section, revealed two independent cations in the unit cell, as shown in Figure 1. Key bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles for all crystal structures are given in Table 2.
The structures in Figure 1 verify the rhenium configuration ( $S$ ), which corresponds to overall retention from methyl complex (S)-1 (Scheme 2). Although the configuration of the intermediate chlorobenzene complex $3^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$has not been rigorously proven, $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1}$ is converted to a related dichloromethane complex and then other Lewis base adducts with retention at each step. ${ }^{15}$ The two cations in Figure 1 differ primarily in the $\operatorname{Re}-S$
conformation, as illustrated in Figure 2 and quantified by the differences in $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{LP}$ (lone pair) or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{LP}$ torsion angles (Table 2; 160-150 ${ }^{\circ}$ ). The fortuitous presence of both cations allows a better appreciation of the ensemble of ligand conformations that may be populated in solution.

The nonracemic thiolate complex obtained from ( $S$ )-4a+ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$, 5a, was crystallized. NMR spectra of the macroscopic sample showed that only the major diastereomer was present. The structure was similarly determined, and is depicted in Figures 2 and 3 (top). This verifies the relative and absolute $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}$ configurations given above ( $S S$ ) and establishes retention at rhenium from ( $S$ ) $-\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$. Analogous configurations were assigned to the major diastereomers of $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathrm{c}(S S, R R$ or $S S$ ) and 5d (SR,RS). Also, the cyclopentadienyl ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of the major diastereomers were always upfield from those of the minor diastereomers. However, other NMR signals did not correlate with configuration.

Finally, the crystal structure of the major diastereomer of the racemic, tert-butyl substituted thiolate $5 \mathbf{f}$ was determined. Views are given in Figures 2 and 3 (bottom). This verifies the relative $\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ configurations given above ( $S R R, R S S$ ). Furthermore, the SC configuration matches those assigned in Scheme 3.
4. Chiral Organic Sulfides. Attention was turned to detaching the thiolate ligands from 5. The sulfur atoms of thiolate ligands are commonly more nucleophilic than those of organic sulfides and are readily attacked by electrophiles. ${ }^{16}$ Thus, as shown in Schemes 4 and 5, 5a-c,e,f and MeOTf (1.0 equiv) were combined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cationic methyl sulfide complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CHR}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$(7a-c,e, $\mathbf{f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$) were isolated in $92-85 \%$ yields and characterized by NMR. These sulfur-based transformations were presumed to proceed with retention at rhenium. Subsequent reactions with $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$(1.5 equiv) gave the free methyl sulfides MeSCHR'R ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}, \mathrm{f}$ ) in $79-54 \%$ yields after distillation. The known cyanide complex $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)-$ $(\mathrm{CN})(9){ }^{17}$ was obtained in $89-84 \%$ yields. As detailed earlier, 9 and (S)-9 are easily recycled to the methyl complexes 1 and (S)-1. ${ }^{18}$ Additional data are summarized in Schemes 4 and 5.

Separate NMR experiments showed the formation of 8 and 9 to be spectroscopically quantitative. Thus, the lower yields of the somewhat volatile methyl sulfides 8 were attributed to handling losses during solvent removal or distillation. In an attempt to reduce this problem, $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathrm{b}$ were treated with $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$, which transfers a heavier alkyl group (Scheme 5). The benzyl sulfide complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CH}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.R^{\prime} R^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{+} I^{-}\left(\mathbf{1 0 a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} I^{-}\right)$were isolated in $97-79 \%$ yields. Reactions with $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$and silica gel workups gave the free benzyl sulfides $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SCHR}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\mathbf{1 1 a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) in $85-84 \%$ yields. The sulfides 8a,c have been reported previously. ${ }^{2 b, 19,20}$ The others are new compounds and were characterized by NMR and microanalysis or high resolution mass spectrometry.

Reactions were repeated with representative nonracemic thiolate complexes. As summarized in Scheme 5, (SS)-5a-c were alkylated to give (SS)-7a-c ${ }^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$and (SS) $\mathbf{- 1 0 a}, \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$(95-

[^4]Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ), Bond Angles (deg), and Torsion Angles (deg) in (S)-4a ${ }^{+} \mathbf{S b F}_{6}{ }^{-}$, (SS)-5a, (SRR,RSS)-5f, and $(S R, R S)-5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$

| Complex (S)-4a ${ }^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 2.396 (2) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ | 2.403(2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}$ | 2.372(2) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ | $2.404(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.771(9) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 1.760 (9) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 1.15(1) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ | 1.17(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 2.338(8) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | 2.25(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 2.26 (1) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 2.26 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 2.245 (9) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 2.32(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 2.255 (9) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C4}^{\prime}$ | 2.31(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 2.28(1) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C5}^{\prime}$ | 2.29(1) |
| S-C24 | 1.81(1) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}$ | 1.78(1) |
| C24-C25 | 1.45(2) | C24 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{C} 25^{\prime}$ | 1.48(2) |
| C25-C26 | 1.30 (2) | C25'-C26 | 1.35(4) |
| S-C27 | 1.84(1) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} 7^{\prime}$ | 1.81(1) |
| C27-C28 | 1.48(1) | C27'-C28 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1.46(2) |
| C28-C29 | 1.26 (2) | C28'-C29' | 1.08 (3) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 94.4(3) | $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 93.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 98.00(7) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ | 92.67(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 98.4 (3) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 87.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 176.0(8) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ | 172.2(9) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 108(1) | $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C2}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | 107(1) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 107(1) | $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}$ | 108(1) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 106(1) | $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}$ | 110(1) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 106(1) | $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ | 108(1) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 113(1) | $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | 107(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | 106.6(3) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}$ | 115.6 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 119.5(3) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}$ | 118.9 (4) |
| C24-S-C27 | 101.3(4) | $\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}$ | $100.7(7)$ |
| S-C24-C25 | 113.3(8) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 25^{\prime}$ | 115(1) |
| S-C27-C28 | 110.7(8) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 28^{\prime}$ | 108(1) |
| C24-C25-C26 | 125(1) | C24'-C25 - ${ }^{\prime} 26^{\prime}$ | 122(3) |
| C27-C28-C29 | 126(1) | C27'-C28'-C29 | 135(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -142.5(4) | $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}$ | 169.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 27$ | -28.7(4) | $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}$ | 49.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{LP}$ | 90.2(1) | $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{LP}^{\prime}$ | -69.2(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -46.8(4) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}$ | -97.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 67.0(4) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}$ | 142.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{LP}$ | -174.1(3) | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{LP}^{\prime}$ | 24.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25$ | -168.5(7) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 25^{\prime}$ | -78(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{C} 28$ | 154.0(6) | $\mathrm{Re}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 28^{\prime}$ | -162.0(8) |
| S-C24-C25-C26 | -119(1) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 25^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 26^{\prime}$ | -136(2) |
| S-C27-C28-C29 | -113(1) | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 28^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 29^{\prime}$ | 126(2) |
| C24-S-C27-C28 | -89.4(8) | $\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 28^{\prime}$ | 71(1) |
| C27-S-C24-C25 | 65.8(8) | $\mathrm{C} 27^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 24^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 25^{\prime}$ | 52(1) |
| Complex (SS)-5a |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 2.384(1) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 2.335(6) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}$ | 2.348(1) | S-C24 | 1.849(6) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.741 (5) | C24-C25 | 1.48 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 1.209 (6) | C24-C27 | $1.517(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 2.294(6) | C25-C26 | 1.33 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $2.247(5)$ | C27-C28 | 1.46 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 2.274(6) | C28-C29 | 1.29(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 2.337(6) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 93.2(2) | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 100.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 86.00(5) | Re-S-C24 | 109.5(2) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 104.8(7) | C2-C3-C4 | 111.1(7) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 106.5(6) | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 110.5(6) |
| C2-C1-C5 | 107.0(6) | C24-C25-C26 | 125.9(8) |
| S-C24-C25 | 111.3(5) | C24-C27-C28 | 115.2(6) |
| S-C24-C27 | 108.3(4) | C27-C28-C29 | 127.3(8) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 174.3(5) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -152.5(3) | Re-S-C24-C25 | -82.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -60.0(3) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 27$ | 152.8(5) |
| Complex (SRR,RSS)-5f |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 2.343(3) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 2.31(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}$ | 2.392(2) | S-C24 | 1.85(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.748(8) | C24-C25 | 1.48 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 1.21(1) | C24-C27 | 1.57(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 2.32(1) | C25-C26 | $1.27(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 2.27(1) | C27-C28 | 1.50 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 2.27(1) | C28-C29 | $1.28(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 2.31(1) |  |  |

Table 2 (Continued)

| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 92.9(3) | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 102.5(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 87.53(9) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | 106.9(3) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 106(1) | C2-C3-C4 | 109(1) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 109(1) | C3-C4-C5 | 109(1) |
| C2-C1-C5 | 105(1) | C24-C25-C26 | 130(1) |
| S-C24-C25 | 114.0(8) | C24-C27-C28 | 110(1) |
| S-C24-C27 | 114.4(7) | C27-C28-C29 | 125(1) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 173.5(8) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -179.1(3) | Re-S-C24-C25 | -105.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -86.7(4) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 27$ | -128.1(6) |
| Complex ( $(S R, R S$ )-5a-Mes |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 2.352(3) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 2.50 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}$ | $2.394(3)$ | S-C24 | 1.83(2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.68(1) | C24-C25 | 1.47 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 1.18(2) | C24-C27 | 1.52(2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 2.44(2) | C25-C26 | 1.23 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 2.34(1) | C27-C28 | 1.48 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 2.29(1) | C28-C29 | $1.17(3)^{a}$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 2.38(1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 94.6(5) | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ | 104.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{P}$ | 87.4(2) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | 108.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 105(1) | C2-C3-C4 | 108(1) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 110(1) | C3-C4-C5 | 110(1) |
| C2-C1-C5 | 111(2) | C24-C25-C26 | 129 (2) |
| S-C24-C25 | 109(1) | C24-C27-C28 | 115(2) |
| S-C24-C27 | 109(1) | C27-C28-C29 | 135(2) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 170(1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -150.7(5) | Re-S-C24-C25 | 69.5(1.1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24$ | -56.7(6) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 27$ | -165.0(1.0) |

${ }^{a}$ These shortened values likely reflect some disorder.
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(SRR,RSS)-5t

(SR,RS)-5a-Me
$(\mathrm{S})-4 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{5}{ }^{-}$

Figure 2. Rhenium-sulfur ligand conformations in crystallographically characterized compounds: Newman projections down the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Re}$ bonds.
$79 \%$ ). Reactions with $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$gave the free sulfides ( $S$ )-8a-c ( $67-58 \%$ ) and (S)-11a,b (82-77\%). Enantiomeric purities were assayed with the chiral NMR shift reagent combination $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) / \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}(1: 1: 1)^{21}$ and closely matched the diastereomeric purities of the precursors ( $S / R>99:<1$ to $92: 8$; Scheme 5). The cyanide complex ( 5 )-9 was recovered in 93$78 \%$ yields and $>98 \%$ ee (Eu(hfc) $)_{3}$ analysis). ${ }^{176.18}$ This shows that no racemization or epimerization of the rhenium occurs at any stage in Schemes 2-5. The configuration corresponds to retention from (SS)-7,10+ $\mathrm{X}^{-}$, as established for closely related cyanide ion substitutions. ${ }^{18}$
5. Mechanistic and Optimization Experiments. In principle, either of the two steps in Scheme 3 can be rate determining. We sought to assay the reversibility of the deprotonation of $4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$to ylide 6 . Thus, $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$was dissolved in THF containing the deuterated alcohol $t$-BuOD (16 equiv) and treated with a deficiency of $t$-BuOK ( 0.5 equiv). Any return of $6 \mathbf{a}$ to $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$would then be accompanied by deuterium incorporation. The product $5 \mathbf{a}$ and unreacted $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$were isolated and analyzed by mass spectrometry, together with

[^5]natural abundance deuterium samples. A computer fit of the data showed $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$to be ca. $2 \%$ and $1 \%$ deuterated, respectively, above natural abundance levels. An analogous experiment with $4 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}$gave $5 \mathbf{c}$ and $4 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$that were ca. $1 \%$ and $7 \%$ deuterated. From these low label levels, the deprotonation of $4^{+} X^{-}$to 6 cannot be a reversible, preequilibrium step.

Next, the doubly labeled sulfide complex $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\right.$ -$\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}-d_{15}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(4 \mathrm{c}^{+}-d_{19} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\right)$was prepared. As shown in Scheme 6, a mixture of $4 \mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$and $4 \mathbf{c}^{+}-d_{19} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$was reacted with a deficiency of $t$ - BuOK ( mol ratio $50: 50: 10$ ). A mass spectrum of the resulting thiolate 5 c showed a 60.4:39.6 $d_{18} / d_{0}$ mixture, implying a $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ value of 1.53 . This establishes, together with the previous experiment, that the deprotonation of $4^{+} X^{-}$to 6 is rate determining. The low value is presumably due to a bent or unsymmetrical transition state. Surprisingly, isotope effects for deprotonations of allyl sulfonium salts do not appear to have been reported earlier. The mass spectrum also showed the absence of crossover products such as $5 \mathrm{c}-d_{15}$. Hence, the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and sulfur donor ligands do not dissociate at any stage of the reaction coordinate.

Enantioselectivities and diastereoselectivities are often sensi-


Figure 3. Crystal structures of thiolate complexes (SS)-5a (top), ( $S R, R S$ )-5a-Me (middle), and ( $(R R, R S S$ )-5f (bottom).
tive functions of reaction conditions. ${ }^{22}$ Thus, we attempted to maximize the $\mathbf{5 a}$ diastereomer ratio by varying the conditions in Scheme $3\left(0.001 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{4a}{ }^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\right.$in THF, $1.0 \mathrm{M} t$-BuOK in THF, $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). First, the diastereomer ratio ( $93: 7$ ) was unaffected when the concentration of $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$was increased 50 -fold or reactions were conducted at $-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, the ratio decreased when reactions were run at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(80: 20)$ or room temperature (74:26).

As summarized in Table 3, solvent significantly influenced diastereomer ratios. Except in the case of toluene, conversions (22) Keck, G. E.; Krishnamurthy, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2363.

Scheme 5. Conversion of Thiolate Complexes to Free Organic Sulfides ${ }^{9}$

(SS.RR) or (SS)-7e-e ${ }^{+}$OTF



| Reactant | A | A ${ }^{2}$ | Diastareomer Ratios | Yield, Alkylation Product | Diastereomer Retion | Yield. Sultide | Enantiomer Aatiob | Yield. 96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | H | H | 92:8 | 90\%, 7a+ T10\% | 92:8 | 60\%.88 | -- | 87\% |
| 5b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 98:2 | 89\%, $7 \mathrm{~b}+$ T $10-$ | 98:2 | 65\%.8b | - | 86\% |
| 5 c | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 93:7 | 89\%, $7 \mathrm{c}^{+}$T40- | 93:7 | 60\%.8c | .* | 89\% |
| 5 | H | H | 92:8 | 89\%. $7 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{T} 6$ | 92:8 | 67\%, 8a | 92:6 | 93\% |
| 56 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | >99.5: <0.5 | 95\%, 7b+ TKO | >99.5:<0.5 | 58\%, 8b | >99:<1 | 92\% |
| $5 ¢$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 97:3 | 93\%, 7e+ $\mathrm{THO}^{-}$ | 97:3 | 65\%. 8 c | 96:4 | 78\% |
| 5 | H | H | 93:7 | 97\%, 108+1- | 93:7 | 84\%, 11a | - | 84\% |
| 5b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 98:2 | 84\%, 10b+1- | 98:2 | 85\%, 11b | .. | 91\% |
| 5 | H | H | 93:7 | 92\%, 10n+ $1 \cdot$ | 93:7 | 77\%, 118 | 93:7 | 93\% |
| 5b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $>99.5:<0.5$ | 79\%. 10b+ | $>99.5:<0.5$ | 82\%, 11b | $>99: 1$ | 91\% |

Scheme 6. Estimation of Kinetic Deuterium Isotope Effect ${ }^{9}$

to $5 a$ were quantitative by NMR. However, only diglyme gave an increased diastereomer ratio (95.5:4.5). This reaction was conducted at a slightly higher temperature due to the solvent freezing point. Conversely, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave the lowest diastereomer ratio (71:29). A variety of other bases could also be employed (Table 3). Alkoxides gave the best results ( $93: 7$ to 87:13). Stronger $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-}$or $\mathrm{R}^{-}$bases gave much lower diastereomer ratios, sometimes with reversed selectivities (66:34 to 40:60).
6. Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Complexes. We thought that diastereoselectivities might increase with bulkier, pentamethylcyclopentadienyl analogs of $4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$. Accordingly, the parent di(allyl) sulfide complex [ $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\left(4 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\right)$was prepared from the corresponding racemic chlorobenzene complex ( $3^{+}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$; see Scheme 2). ${ }^{23}$ As shown in Scheme 7, reactions with $t$-BuOK gave the thiolate $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ as $93: 7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 93 \%\right)$ or $90: 10(\mathrm{THF}$, $91 \%$ ) mixtures of diastereomers.
Thus, the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl and cyclopentadienyl analogs $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$gave similar diastereose-

[^6]Table 3. Effects of Solvent and Base on the Conversion of $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5 a}^{a}$

| solvent ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | base/solvent | $S S, R R / S R, R S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THF | $t$-BuOK/THF | 93:07 |
| acetone | $t$-BuOK/THF | 91:09 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $t$-BuOK/THF | 71:29 |
| EtOAc ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $t$-BuOK/THF | 93:07 |
| toluene ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $t$-BuOK/THF | 78:22 |
| diglyme ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $t$-BuOK/THF | 95.5:4.5 |
| THF | $\mathrm{MeONa} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ | 92:08 |
| THF | $t$-BuOLi/THF | 87:13 |
| THF | $(\mathrm{Me} 3 \mathrm{Si})_{2} \mathrm{NLi} / \mathrm{THF}$ | 40:60 |
| THF | $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NK} / \mathrm{THF}$ | 66:34 |
| THF | $\left(\mathrm{Me} 3_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NK} /$ toluene | 43:57 |
| THF | $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NLi} \cdot \mathrm{THF} /$ cyclohexane | 47:53 |
| THF | $n$-BuLi/hexane | 51:49 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted in NMR tubes and $S S, R R / S R, R S$ ratios were assayed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Ca} .0 .001 \mathrm{M},-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{c} 4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$is slightly soluble in EtOAc. ${ }^{d} \mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$is insoluble in toluene and some byproducts form (ca. $6 \%$ ). ${ }^{e}$ Conducted at $-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Scheme 7. Reaction of Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Di(allyl) Sulfide Complex ${ }^{9}$

lectivities. However, we gradually became skeptical that the configurations of the major diastereomers were identical. Accordingly, 5a-Me ${ }_{5}$ was crystallized to diastereomeric purity. X-ray data were collected, and a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of the crystal employed verified that it was the major diastereomer. Views of the crystal structure are given in Figures 2 (right) and 3 (middle). These show that the opposite ( $S R, R S$ ) diastereomer preferentially forms. The implications of this surprising result are discussed below.

## Discussion

1. Scope and Merits of Methodology. Schemes 3-7 establish the following new or previously unexploited chemical phenomena: (1) sulfur ylides can be generated from cationic transition metal complexes of di(allyl) or di(propargyl) sulfides and bases; (2) these undergo rapid $[2,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangements to give neutral thiolate complexes; (3) with chiral metal fragments, the configurations of the resulting $S C$ carbon stereocenters can be efficiently controlled; and (4) nonracemic chiral metal fragments can be used to prepare chiral organosulfur compounds of high enantiomeric purities.

As precedent for (1), sulfur donor ligands have been previously found to undergo a variety of types of deprotonation reactions. ${ }^{24-29}$ Some of the more relevant are summarized in

[^7]Scheme 8. Other Reactions of Sulfur Donor Ligands and Bases that Likely Involve Intermediate Ylides ${ }^{9}$


Scheme 9. Summary: Enantioselective Conversion of Achiral Di(allyl) Sulfides to Rearranged Chiral Sulfides Mediated by the Recyclable Chiral Rhenium Auxiliary I



Scheme 8. As exemplified with $\mathbf{1 2}^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$(top), cationic complexes of chelating sulfides can react with bases to give neutral metal-carbon bonded products. ${ }^{26}$ Angelici has reported a conceptually similar reaction of a thiophene adduct of the rhenium Lewis acid $\mathrm{I}, 14^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$(middle). ${ }^{28}$ We have discovered a related process with the DMSO complex $16^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$(bottom). ${ }^{29}$ All of these transformations likely entail the initial formation of an ylide, followed by a [1,2] shift of the metal to the carbanionic center. ${ }^{30}$
The net organic transformation accomplished by the preceding chemistry is highlighted in the middle of Scheme 9, using di(allyl) sulfide for illustration. The starting material for this desymmetrization process, methyl complex 1 , can be prepared from commercially available $\mathrm{Re}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ in four steps and $57 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{5}$ The enantiomers are easily resolved in transitu in two steps and $76 \%$ yield. All of the compounds in Scheme 9 , and precursors thereof, are air stable and amenable to multigram scale preparations.

Importantly, each of the individual steps in Schemes 2-7 is spectroscopically quantitative and isolated yields have not been optimized. At present, ( $(S, R)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$and ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$can
(30) The ylide 6 (Scheme 3) could also potentially undergo a $[1,2]$ shift of rhenium, but no evidence for the formation of alkyl complexes has been observed. Apparently, the migratory aptitude of the allyl group is much greater.
be converted to the free methyl sulfides $(S, R)$ - and $(S)-\mathbf{8 a}$ in $50-47 \%$ overall yields and the cyanide complexes $(S, R)$ - and ( $S$ )-9 in $72-65 \%$ overall yields. With the benzyl sulfides ( $S, R$ )and $(S) \mathbf{- 1 1 a}$, yields increase to $67-44 \%$. The cyanide complexes can be recycled to the methyl complexes $(S, R)$ - and ( $S$ )-1 ( $>99.9 \%$ ee) in $88-53 \%$ yields in two steps as shown in Scheme 9. ${ }^{18}$

Preliminary studies show that it is possible to combine consecutive steps in Scheme 9, with improved overall yields. Also, it should be possible to use electrophiles other than alkylating agents to derivatize thiolates 5. In this context, $S$-benzyl groups such as in 11a,b are frequently used to protect thiols and can be easily removed. ${ }^{31}$ Furthermore, complexes in which one or both of the allyl moieties in $\mathbf{4}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$are replaced by benzyl groups give similar reactions. ${ }^{32 a}$ Importantly, analogous transformations can be effected with less expensive metals, such as iron and ruthenium. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~b}}$ These data will be reported in the near future.
2. Mechanism of Diastereoselection. As diagrammed in Scheme 10, the dominant SC configurations of thiolates 5 (Schemes 3 and 4) require that when the rhenium configuration is $S$, the allyl moiety in ylide $\mathbf{6}$ preferentially migrates to the $s i$ face of the carbanion. However, other key transition state variables remain undefined, such as (1) the rhenium-sulfur conformation, (2) the configuration of the sulfur stereocenter, and (3) the conformation of the migrating allyl group. To help frame these possibilities, the transition states II and III (Scheme 10) are analyzed first. Both lead to the major thiolate diastereomer IV.

The rhenium-sulfur conformations in II and III correspond to those that would be the most stable in sulfide complexes $4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-} .{ }^{8}$ Adducts of the rhenium fragment $\mathbf{I}$ are formally octahedral, and numerous studies have established that the interstice between the large $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and small nitrosyl ligands is the most congested. ${ }^{8,17 b}, 33$ Note that the idealized $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ bond angle $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$ is smaller than those involving the cyclopentadienyl centroid ( $125^{\circ}$ ). Thus, ligands preferentially adopt conformations that direct their least bulky groups into this region. ${ }^{34}$

Similarly, the interstice between the small nitrosyl and medium cyclopentadienyl ligands is the least congested. ${ }^{8,33}$ Thus, ligands preferentially adopt conformations that direct their largest groups into this region. The rhenium-sulfur conformation depicted in thiolate IV should therefore be the most stable. As supporting evidence, the three thiolate complexes in Figures $2-3$ crystallize accordingly, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ torsion angles between $-56.7(6)^{\circ}$ and $-86.7(4)^{\circ}$. Hence, II and III directly give thiolate complexes in the lowest energy rhenium-sulfur conformation.

Additional families of transition states can be generated from II or III by (1) rotating ca. $120^{\circ}$ about the rhenium -sulfur bond or (2) inverting the sulfur. However, all of these will involve a less stable rhenium-sulfur conformation of the ylide and/or thiolate product. Although these possibilities cannot at present be rigorously excluded, there is a good probability that their energies will be higher.

In view of the low sulfur inversion/rotation barriers in $\mathbf{4}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$, we suspect that the ylide 6 undergoes rapid sulfur inversion/

[^8]Scheme 10. Some Transition State Models for [2,3] Sigmatropic Rearrangements
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rotation on the time scale of rearrangement. Conformational processes involving the SC substituents are also likely rapid. In this familiar Curtin-Hammett limit, ${ }^{36}$ diastereomer ratios reflect the absolute energies of the competing transition states. In the opposite limit, kinetic selectivities become important. For example, the sulfur configuration is initially determined by which of the two diastereotopic allyl groups of $4^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$is deprotonated. Also, the stereochemistry of the ylide carbanion (si/re) may at first be a function of which diastereotopic $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ proton is abstracted. As shown in transition state $\mathbf{V}$ (Scheme 10), migration of an allyl group to the $r e$ face gives the minor thiolate diastereomer VI.

Regardless of the limit that applies, we propose that base preferentially attacks the allyl group in the least hindered interstice between the nitrosyl and cyclopentadienyl ligands. As analyzed elsewhere, the highly diastereoselective conversion of DMSO complex $\mathbf{1 6}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$(Scheme 8, bottom) to ( $S R, R S$ )-17 suggests an analogous deprotonation stereochemistry. ${ }^{29}$ Further, in the more stable of the rhenium-sulfur conformers in crystalline ( S ) $-\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$(Figure 1, bottom), one $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ proton is sterically more accessible. Abstraction would give III directly. ${ }^{37}$

The question remains as to what is disfavored about analogs of II or III that involve the re face of the ylide carbanion, such as V. Initially, we thought that III would be less stable than $\mathbf{V}$

[^9]due to steric interactions of the vinyl carbanion substituent and the cyclopentadienyl ligand. However, there is also precedent for attractive edge/face interactions involving cyclopentadienyl ligands and aryl or other unsaturated moieties. ${ }^{38,39}$ Regardless, the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complex $\mathbf{4 a}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$provides a probe of this model. In the corresponding transition state III-Me ${ }_{5}$, the vinyl group should experience much greater steric repulsion, and attractive edge/face interactions are no longer possible. Accordingly, the diastereomer of thiolate 5a$\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ that would be derived from $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ is formed preferentially.

Finally, transition states II and III differ in the conformations of the migrating allyl group. These can be viewed as exo and endo, respectively, with respect to the sulfur lone pair. As detailed in a theoretical study, the former is generally favored with organic sulfur ylides. ${ }^{40}$ Importantly, $\mathbf{4 e}-\mathbf{g}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$, which have unsymmetrically substituted allyl termini, give different SCC diastereomers depending upon the exolendo sense of the transition state. This is illustrated with VII and VIII in Scheme 10. The crystal structure of ( $S R R, R S S$ )-5f establishes that the latter is greatly favored with the tert-butyl substituted complex $\mathbf{4 f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. However, the methyl substituted complex $\mathbf{4 e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$ shows little selectivity. Hence, we presume that II and III are usually close in energy.
3. Prospective. The preceding chemistry raises many attractive possibilities for new research directions. For example, oxygen and nitrogen ylides undergo similar [2,3] rearrangements. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}}$ Thus, there would seem to be excellent prospects for effecting and analogous reactions with ether and amine ligands. Also, ylides can be generated by routes that do not involve base-such as carbene transfers from diazo compounds to sulfides, ethers, or amines in the presence of metal catalysts. ${ }^{1 \text { a }}$ These themes, and extensions to other metals and sulfide ligands as noted above, ${ }^{32}$ will be the subject of future reports from this laboratory.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{41,42}$

$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathbf{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\left(\mathbf{4} \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\right)$. A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})\left(2 ;{ }^{6} 0.390\right.$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.563 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}(109$ $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.845 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with stirring. After 48 h , volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was dissolved in acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 110 mL ). The yellow-brown powder was collected by filtration and washed with ether ( 10 mL ) and pentane ( 50 mL ). After 15 min , a powder formed in the filtrate, which was collected and washed with pentane ( 20 mL ). The combined crops were dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.405 \mathrm{~g}, 0.485 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%): \mathrm{mp} 158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1702 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 44.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.75$. Found: C, 44.59; H, 3.70. B. A Schlenk flask was charged with ( $S$ )- $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})$ -

[^10]$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)((S)-\mathbf{1} ; 50.627 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol},>99 \% e e)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and cooled to $-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{M}$ in ether; $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with stirring. ${ }^{7}$ After 5 min , $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}(210 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The cold bath was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 16 h , volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was dissolved in acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 110 mL ). The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(0.668$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.896 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%): \mathrm{mp} 157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (slight darkening, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $[\alpha]_{589}^{29} 124^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}\left(c 0.742 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{43,44 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IR} 1711 . .^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ NOPReS: $\mathrm{C}, 46.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.06$. Found: C, 46.61 ; H, 4.02. C. Acetone ( 50 mL ), $(S)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(0.424 \mathrm{~g}, 0.569 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ $(1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined with stirring. After 15 min , solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was filtered through a fine frit, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The yellow-brown oil was dissolved in acetone ( 2 mL ) and layered with ether ( 10 mL ). After 3 days, yellow-brown plates were collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 10 mL ) and pentane ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give ( $S$ ) $-\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}: \mathrm{mp} 135-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1706 .^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30^{-}}$ $F_{6}$ NOPReSSb: C, 38.98; H, 3.38. Found: C, 38.88; H, 3.36. ${ }^{44 \mathrm{~b}}$
NMR, $\mathbf{4 a}^{+}$TfO $^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right):^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.54-7.22 / 7.56-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.69 / 5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.54-5.41 / 5.56-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 5.35-$ 5.29/5.39-5.24 (m, $2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.50/3.67 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{SCHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.36 / 3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 SCHH ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 133.2/134.5 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.3 / 133.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.7 / 132.3\left(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{s}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.6 / 130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}), 130.3 / 132.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=), 122.9 / 122.6\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.8 / 94.3$ (s, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 46.6/47.8 (s, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 12.2/12.8 (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathbf{R e}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right]^{2}\right]^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\left(\mathbf{4 b}^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\right) . \mathrm{A}$. Complex $2(0.381 \mathrm{~g}, 0.550 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.825 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $4 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. The residue was dissolved in acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 110 mL ). Pentane ( 50 mL ) was added, and the yellow-brown powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 20 mL ) and pentane ( 20 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.390 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.468 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%): \mathrm{mp} 190-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1704 . .^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}: 46.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.10$. Found: C, $45.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.07$. B. Complex $(S)-1(0.876 \mathrm{~g}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(8.0 \mathrm{M}$ in ether; $158 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.90$ mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $(S)-4 \mathrm{a}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$. Volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum ( 4 h ). The residue was dissolved in acetone ( 5 mL ). The solution was quickly added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 110 mL ). The yellow powder was collected by filtration and washed with pentane ( 10 mL ). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in acetone ( 5 mL ). The solution was quickly added to rapidly stirred ether $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The powder was collected and washed with pentane ( 10 mL ). The crops were combined and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $(S)-4 \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.739 \mathrm{~g}, 0.889 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%): \mathrm{mp} 113^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (slight darkening, $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR 1702 ; $^{42}[\alpha]_{589}^{29} 154^{\circ} \pm 13^{\circ}(c 0.872$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{43.44 \mathrm{a}}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ NOPReS: $\mathrm{C}, 48.19$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.44$. Found: C, 48.18; H, 4.42.

NMR, $\mathbf{4 b}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.52-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $5.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}^{\prime}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13,2 \mathrm{SCHH}\right.$ ), $3.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13,2 \mathrm{SCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.2$ (d, $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{CP}}$ $=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}), 132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.3$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), $137.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right.$ ), $119.5\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 94.3$ (s, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 52.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ), $20.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.3$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathbf{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) 2\right]^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\left(\mathbf{4 c}^{+} \mathbf{X}^{-}\right) . A\right.$. Complex $2(0.866 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}(0.358 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. Volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum $(12 \mathrm{~h})$. The residue was dissolved in acetone ( 5 mL ) and filtered through a 4 cm silica gel plus on a frit, which was rinsed with 1:1 acetone $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (v/v, 100 mL ). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in acetone ( 5 mL ).

[^11]The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 250 mL ). The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 10 mL ) and pentane ( 50 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $4 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.891 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%): \mathrm{mp} 143^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR $1709.4^{42} \mathrm{Calcd}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 47.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.44$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 47.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.47$. B. Complex $(S)-1(2.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.57 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}(2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $(S)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$. The solution was slowly warmed to room temperature over 48 h and filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, ether ( 40 mL ), and THF ( 200 mL ). Solvent was removed from the THF rinse by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum ( 24 h ) to give $(S)$ $4 \mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$as a yellow powder ( $2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 3.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ): mp 72-74 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1702 ;^{42}[\alpha]_{589}^{25} 126^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.274 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{43,44 \mathrm{a}}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{NOPReS}: \mathrm{C}, 49.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 49.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.83$.

NMR, $\mathbf{4 c}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right){ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.53-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 4.98-4.97(m, 2 CH=), 3.40-3.30(m, $2 \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ), 1.70, $1.55(2 \mathrm{~s}, 4$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 141.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \boldsymbol{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $116.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=), 92.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 25.9,18.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.1$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathbf{C C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-} \quad\left(\mathbf{4 d}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}{ }^{-}\right)$. Complex $2(0.356 \mathrm{~g}, 0.515 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.721 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 150 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ether ( 50 mL ), dried under oil pump vacuum, and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was layered with ether ( 50 mL ) and kept in a freezer. After 4 days, yellow-brown needles were collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 50 mL ) and pentane ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 d}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.338 \mathrm{~g}, 0.407 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%): \mathrm{mp} 169^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR $1711 . .^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}$ : C, 46.26; $\mathrm{H}, 3.64$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 46.17$; H, 3.64 .

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.55-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{dq}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,2,2 \mathrm{SCH} H^{\prime}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,2,2 \mathrm{SCH} H^{\prime}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.=3,2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56\right.$, $i-\mathrm{Ph}), 131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 92.7(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $84.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 71.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.0$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(4 \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)$. Complex 2 ( $0.618 \mathrm{~g}, 0.893 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.508 \mathrm{~g}$, ca. 2.93 mmol , ca. $18 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in heptane; $>97 \%$ $E^{13}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $\mathbf{4 a} \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. After 15 h , the solution was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with ether $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvent was removed from the THF rinse by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in dimethoxyethane ( 5 mL ). The solution was layered with $1: 1$ ether/cyclohexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After 24 h , yellow needles were collected by filtration, washed with ether ( 30 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $4 \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.997 \mathrm{~g}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$; $>98 \%$ E): mp $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1708 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}$, 46.03; H, 4.10. Found: C, 46.27 ; H, 4.09.

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.52-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.69\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,7,2\right.$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.10\left(\mathrm{dtq}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,7,2,2 \mathrm{CH}=\right), 3.27$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6,2,2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $10, o-\mathrm{Ph}), 132.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 134.4\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{-} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 123.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=), 92.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $46.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.5$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}$ $\left(4 \mathbf{f}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)$. Complex $2(1.385 \mathrm{~g}, 2.000 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}\left(0.670 \mathrm{~g}, 2.96 \mathrm{mmol} ;>97 \% \mathrm{E}^{13}\right)$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. After 21 days, volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum ( 12 h ). The residue was dissolved in acetone ( 5 mL ). The solution was filtered through a 2 cm silica gel plus on a frit, which was rinsed with $1: 1$ acetone/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (v/v, $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Volatiles were removed from the rinses by rotary evaporation. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel ( $20 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ column packed in ether) with $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ acetone (v/v). Solvent was removed from a yellow fraction. The residue was dissolved in acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 250 mL ). The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed
with ether ( 10 mL ) and pentane ( 50 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $4 \mathrm{f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.203 \mathrm{~g}, 1.310 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \% ;>98 \% \mathrm{E}): \mathrm{mp}$ $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR $1691 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 49.66 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.04. Found: C, 49.38; H, 4.99.

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.55-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,2\right.$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.00\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,7,2 \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right)$, $3.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $132.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11\right.$, $m-\mathrm{Ph}), 150.3\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 117.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right), 93.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $46.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.6$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CHC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathbf{4 g}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)$. A Schlenk flask was charged with $2(0.329 \mathrm{~g}, 0.475 \mathrm{mmol})$, toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(0.189 \mathrm{~g}, 0.713 \mathrm{mmol} ; 90 \% E^{13}\right)$ and fitted with a condenser. The mixture was refluxed ( 2 h ), cooled, and filtered through a 5 cm silica gel plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with toluene ( 150 mL ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and THF ( 200 mL ). Solvent was removed from the THF rinse by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 500 mL ). The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pentane ( 250 $\mathrm{mL})$, and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{4 g}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.354 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.369 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$; $>98 \% \mathrm{E}$ : $\mathrm{mp} 173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1704^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{PReS}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 52.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.99$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 52.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.13$.

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)::^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.55-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,2\right.$ $=\mathrm{CHPh}), 5.85\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,8,7,2 \mathrm{CH}=\right.$ ), $5.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13,8,2 \mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13,7,2 \mathrm{SCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $133.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $=2, p-\mathrm{PPh}), 129.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 137.6(\mathrm{~s},=C \mathrm{HPh}), 136.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $i$-CPh $), 128.9$ (s, $m$ - CPh ), 128.6 (s, $p-\mathrm{CPh}), 126.9$ (s, $o-\mathrm{CPh}), 121.8$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}=), 93.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 47.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.5(\mathrm{~s})$.
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-} \quad\left(4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+}\right.$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$). A Schlenk flask was charged with $1-\mathrm{Me}_{5}(1.025 \mathrm{~g}, 1.630$ $\mathrm{mmol})^{45}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ ( 5.5 M in ether; $296 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with stirring. ${ }^{23}$ After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}(314.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.445 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. After 2 h , the cold bath was removed. After 14 h , volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum. The dark brown residue was dissolved in acetone ( 20 mL ). The solution was filtered through a 1 cm Celite plug, which was rinsed with acetone $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to 20 mL by rotary evaporation and added dropwise to rapidly stirred ether ( 300 mL ). The dark yellow powder was collected by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and the precipitation repeated twice. The combined crops were dried under oil pump vacuum to give $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(1.234 \mathrm{~g}, 1.515 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$ : mp 183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1671 .{ }^{42}$ (darkening, $165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40}-$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ NOPReS: C, 50.12; H, 4.95. Found: C, 49.98; H, 5.03.

NMR: ${ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.40-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 5.40$ (br d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,2=\mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 5.12\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,2=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 3.35$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,13,2 \mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=13,5, \mathrm{SCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2\right.$, $p-\mathrm{Ph}), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=), 122.9\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $103.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 45.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 9.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), i$ - Ph not observed; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 19.7/17.7 (s).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{5 a})$. A. An oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with $\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.167 \mathrm{~g}, 1.446$ mmol ) and THF ( 30 mL ) and cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $1.446 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.446 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with stirring. After 5 min , the cold bath was removed. After 30 min , volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was extracted with benzene ( 50 mL ). The extract was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with benzene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heptane ( 35 mL ) was slowly added. The bright orange powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $5 \mathrm{a}(0.873 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$; 93:7 SS,RR/SR,RS): ${ }^{12,46}$ IR $1629 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29}$ NOPReS: C, 53.03; H, 4.45. Found: C, 52.76; H, 4.38. B. Complex ( $S$ ) $-\mathbf{4 a}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.563$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.756 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF ( 30 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $756 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.756 mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. The residue was extracted with benzene ( 30 mL ). The extract was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with benzene $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation.

The residue was dissolved in benzene ( 10 mL ). The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred pentane ( 50 mL ). After 15 min crystallization had begun, and the sample was moved to a freezer. After 3 h , the orange microcrystalline powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{5 a}$ $(0.392 \mathrm{~g}, 0.597 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \% ; 93: 7 S S / S R):[\alpha]_{589}^{29} 156^{\circ} \pm 11^{\circ}(c 0.500$ $\left.\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right){ }^{43}$ A benzene solution of this sample was layered with hexanes. Red prisms of diastereomerically pure $(S S)-5 a$ formed $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR assay) and were simularly collected: $\mathrm{mp} 141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1642 .{ }^{42}$ Anal. Found: C, 52.96; H, 4.39.

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S S}, \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R})-5 \mathbf{a} /(\boldsymbol{S S})-5 \mathbf{a}:$ : $^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 7.57-7.41 / 7.66-$ $6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.95 / 6.22$ (ddt, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10,7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=$ ), $5.84 / 6.03$ (ddd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10,9, \mathrm{CHCH}=$ ), $5.30 / 4.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.09 / 5.18,5.50 /$ $5.01\left(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.13 / 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.67 / 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCHH})$, $2.40 / 2.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCH} H^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 134.7 / 135.7$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}$ $=54, i-\mathrm{Ph}), 133.4 / 134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.5 / 130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2\right.$, $p-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.2 / 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 144.2 / 145.1,138.1 / 138.3$ (2 s, $2 \mathrm{CH}=$ ), $115.1 / 115.4,111.9 / 111.7\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.7 / 90.9\left(\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $\left.=1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 59.0 / 59.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=7 / 8, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 43.6 / 44.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 19.3 / 20.4$ (s); (SR,RS)-5a/(SR)-5a (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ 5.26/4.86 (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 92.7/91.4 ( $\left.\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 19.7/20.9 (s).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (5b). A. Complex $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF ( 30 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $1.666 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.666 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 5a. The residue ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ : 20.5 and $20.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was layered with pentane ( 50 mL ). Bright orange crystals began to form within 15 min . After 1 h , the flask was shaken and moved to a freezer. Crops were collected after 3 and 12 h , combined, and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{5 b}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58$ mmol, $95 \%$; 98:2 $S S, R R / S R, R S) .{ }^{46}$ IR 1631.42 Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{-}$ NOPReS: C, 54.37; H, 4.86. Found: C, 54.20; H, 4.83. B. Complex $(S)-4 \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.420 \mathrm{~g}, 0.543 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $543 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.543 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for (SS)-5a. The residue after silica gel filtration $\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$ NMR, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ : 20.5 and $20.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; 99.3: 0.7$ SS/SR) was dissolved in benzene ( 10 mL ), and hexanes ( 50 mL ) were added. The sample was kept in a freezer. After 5 days, orange crystals were collected by filtration and washed with pentane. Heptane ( 20 mL ) was added to the filtrate, which was concentrated by rotary evaporation to 20 mL . An orange powder was similarly collected. The combined crops were dried under oil pump vacuum to give ( $S S$ )-5b ( 0.290 g , $0.423 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \% ;>99.5:<0.5 S S / S R)$ : IR $1633 ; 42[\alpha]_{589}^{29}-156^{\circ} \pm 9^{\circ}$ (c $0.532 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{43}$ Anal. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 54.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.85$.

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S S} \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R R}) \mathbf{- 5 b} /(\boldsymbol{S S})-\mathbf{5 b}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \cdot{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.52-7.34 / 7.67-$ $6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.27 / 4.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.79+4.69 / 5.00-4.80(2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}, 2$ $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.37/3.67(dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11,5, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 2.65 / 3.04$ (br apparent dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=14,5, \mathrm{SCHCH} H^{\prime}$ ), 2.32/2.68 (apparent ddd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=14,11,1$, $\mathrm{SCHCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$ ), 1.78/1.98+1.89 (m/2m, $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 135.2/134.3 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 134.3 / 135.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=54, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.7 / 130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $2, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.5 / 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11 / 10, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 150.3 / 150.4,145.5 / 145.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right.$ ), 111.2/111.7, 109.9/110.0(2 s, $\left.2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.6 / 90.9$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 61.4 / 62.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=7, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 47.1 / 47.4(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH} 2)$, 22.2/22.5, 18.2/18.3 (2 s, $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 19.7/20.3 (s). (SR,RS)-5b $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=10, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 91.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 20.7(\mathrm{~s})$.
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$ (5c). A. Complex $4 \mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.863 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF ( 30 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 5 a . The benzene silica gel filtrate was concentrated to ca. 50 mL , and heptane ( 50 mL ) was added. The mixture was concentrated to ca. 20 mL . The bright orange powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 30 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $5 \mathrm{c}(0.640 \mathrm{~g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \% ; 93: 7$ $S S, R R / S R, R S$ ): ${ }^{46}$ IR 1637.42.44a Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37}$ NOPReS: C, 55.60; H, 5.23. Found: C, $55.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.21$. B. Complex ( $S$ ) $-4 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(1.20$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF ( 35 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; 1.50 mL , 1.50 mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for ( $S S$ )-

[^12]5a. The silica gel plug was rinsed with ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvents were removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The residue $\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right.$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : 20.9 and $20.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; 97: 3 \mathrm{SS} / \mathrm{SR}$ ) was chromatographed on silica gel ( $25 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) with $1: 1$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ether/hexane. Solvent was removed from an orange fraction by rotary evaporation. The foam was dried under diffusion pump vacuum ( 48 h ) to give 5c as an orange powder $(0.911 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \% ; 97: 3 \mathrm{SS} / S R))^{46} \mathrm{IR}$ $1642 ;^{42}[\alpha]_{589}^{25}-320^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.736 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{43.44 \mathrm{a}}$ Anal. Found: C, $55.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.28$.

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S S}, \boldsymbol{R R})=5 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.56-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.06$ (dd, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=18,11, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.92-4.82\left(\mathrm{~m},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.25$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 1.73,1.66\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1,2=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 1.06,1.04$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCHCCH}_{3}$ ), $\mathrm{SCHCH}=$ obscured by $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ resonance; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $135.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=54, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 134.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $2, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 148.4,130.9(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 128.2$ $\left(\mathrm{s},=C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 110.3\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 67.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=7, \mathrm{SCH}\right)$, 43.3 (s, $\left.\boldsymbol{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 26.2,26.0,25.0,18.8\left(4 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 20.9$ (s), (SR,RS)-5c (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 4.93$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 20.0$ (s).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{5 d})$. Complex $\mathbf{4 d}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol})$, THF ( 10 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $152 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $5 \mathbf{a}$. The residue was extracted with benzene ( 3 $\times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extract was filtered through a 2 cm silica gel plug in a pipet. Volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum ( 3 h ) to give $5 d$ as an orange foam $(0.0984 \mathrm{~g}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \% ; 87: 13 S R, R S /$ $S S, R R):{ }^{46}$ IR 1654. ${ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29}$ NOPReS: C, $54.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.29$. Found: C, 54.48; H, 4.33.

NMR, (SR,RS)-5d: ${ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 7.67-7.57,6.94-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph})$, $5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.76\left(\mathrm{~m},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.42(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.19\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=3\right.$, $\left.=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=3, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 135.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $54, i-\mathrm{Ph}), 134.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=10, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 207.3(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}=), 103.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right), 91.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 83.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHC}$ ), $79.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 74.9 ( $\mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 46.7 (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}=9$, $\mathrm{SCH}), 16.4\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 4.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 20.9$ (s). (SS,RR)-5d (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 4.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $\left.=3, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=3,=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 134.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11\right.$, $o-\mathrm{Ph}), 102.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right.$ ), $91.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 82.5(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHC} \equiv), 79.4(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 74.2\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=9, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 15.1\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 21.7$ ( s ).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{CC}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{NO}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right.$. $\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C H}_{3}\right)(5 \mathbf{e})$. Complex $4 \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.457 \mathrm{~g}, 0.547 \mathrm{mmol} ;>98 \%$ $E^{13}$ ), THF ( 25 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF, $547 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.547 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 5 a . The silica gel plug was rinsed with ether ( 30 mL ) and benzene ( 20 mL ). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and cyclohexane ( 30 mL ) was added. The mixture was concentrated to ca .10 mL . The orange powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $5 \mathrm{e}\left(0.325 \mathrm{~g}, 0.474 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \% ; 52: 45: 2: 1^{14}\right.$ $S R S, R S R / S R R, R S S / S S S, R R R$ or $S S R, R R S$; see text): IR $1654 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ NOPReS: C, $54.37, \mathrm{H}, 4.86$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 54.29, \mathrm{H}, 4.89$.
 $6 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.11-5.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.48-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=2$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.226,5.225\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.05-4.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.07-$ $2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}), 1.69,1.67(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.10,1.09\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7,2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 134.9$, $134.9\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=55,2 i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 133.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11,2 o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $=3,2 p-\mathrm{Ph}), 124.1\left(J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11,2 m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 144.6,142.4,134.8,134.1$, 123.6, $123.4(6 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}=), 113.1,112.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.0,90.9(2$ $\left.\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1,2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 64.9,63.9\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=6,2 \mathrm{SCH}\right), 45.1,44.1(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.4,18.0,18.0,15.4\left(4 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 19.9,19.8$ (2 s). (SSS,RRR)- and (SSR,RRS)-5e (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.17,5.16$ (2 s, 2 $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.75,1.74\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 91.5,91.4\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1,2\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 20.5,20.2(2 \mathrm{~s})$.
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\right)(\mathbf{5 f})$. Complex $\mathbf{4 f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.224 \mathrm{~g}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}$; $>98 \% E^{13}$ ), THF ( 10 mL ) and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF; $244 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.244$ mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $5 \mathbf{5 a}$. The residue was extracted with toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extract was filtered through a 2 cm Celite plug on a frit, which was rinsed with toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The foam was stirred with pentane ( 1 h ), and the orange powder was
collected by filtration and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{5 f}$ ( $0.162 \mathrm{~g}, 0.211 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \% ; 88: 11: 1^{14}:<0.5 S R R, R S S / S R S, R S R /$ other; see text). IR $1637 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{45}$ NOPReS: C, $57.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.90$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 57.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.96 . \mathrm{ACDCl}_{3}$ solution of this sample was layered with heptane. Orange prisms of diastereomerically pure ( $S R R, R S S$ )$5 f\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right.$ NMR assay) formed over 2 days and were similarly collected.

NMR, (SRR,RSS)-5f $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.49-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.04(\mathrm{dt}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,9, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 5.35$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 5.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,3\right.$, $\left.=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,3,=\mathrm{CH} H^{\prime}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=9,3, \mathrm{SCH}\right)$, $1.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,3, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.03,0.99\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $135.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=54, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 134.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ 2, $p-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 138.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 136.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.=C H C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 134.4(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 115.9\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 90.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $\left.=1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 64.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=7, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 33.8,32.9$ ( $\left.2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.8,29.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 19.6$ (s). (SRS,RSR)5f (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 1.02,0.95\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 91.1$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 33.1, $34.4\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.7,28.9\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 19.2 (s). Other diastereomer (partial): ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 19.9$ (s).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{C H C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(5 \mathrm{~g})$. Complex $\mathbf{4 g}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(0.281 \mathrm{~g}, 0.293 \mathrm{mmol} ;>98 \% \mathrm{E}^{13}\right)$, THF ( 10 mL ), and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF, $293 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.293 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $5 \mathbf{5}$. An identical workup gave 5 g as an orange powder ( $0.212 \mathrm{~g}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \% ; 69: 14: 11: 6$ $S R R, R S S /$ other/other/other; see text): IR $1631.4^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{37}$ NOPReS: $\mathrm{C}, 60.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.61$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.68$.

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S R R}, \boldsymbol{R S S}) \cdot 5 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.50-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,=\mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,10\right.$, $\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.11\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,1,=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.06$ (dt, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16,1,=\mathbf{C H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$ ), 3.68 (apparent $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,7, \mathrm{SCH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 134.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=54, i-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 133.9$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11\right.$, $m$-PPh), 142.6, 141.9, 138.1, 135.3, 129.1, 128.4, 127.8, 127.3, 126.4, 126.0, $125.8(11 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CPh}, 3 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{m}), 114.9\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $\left.1, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=7, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 57.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 19.7$ (s). Other diastereomers (partial): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 116.0,115.6,115.4$ (3 s, $\left.=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.6,91.5,\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 64.8,63.5\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 58.8$, 58.3, $57.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ 20.10, 20.08 (2 s).
$\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathbf{R e}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \quad(\mathbf{5 a}-$ Mes). A. Complex $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and $t$-BuOK ( $141 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 5a. The residue was extracted with toluene ( 30 mL ) and filtered through a 1 cm Celite plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with toluene until the filtrate was colorless. Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation to give $\mathbf{5 a - M e _ { 5 }}$ as an orangered foam $(0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \% ; 93: 7 S R, R S / S S, R R)$. The foam was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and a layer of heptane was added (open tube). After 3 days, orange microcrystals of $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ were collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 10 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum: mp $157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1632 .{ }^{42}$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39}$ NOPReS: C, 56.18 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.41$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 56.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.50$. A sample was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and layered with heptane. Orange prisms of diastereomerically pure ( $S R, R S$ )-5a-Me 5 ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR assay) were similarly collected. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (ppm, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, crystal used for X-ray structure below) 19.1 ppm ( $S R, R S / S S, R R$ mixture, $19.1 / 18.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). B. Complex $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}{ }^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.481 \mathrm{~g}, 0.591 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{THF}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $t$-BuOK $(591.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.591 mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ as an orange powder $(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 0.550$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$; 90:10 SR,RS/SS,RR).

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S R}, \boldsymbol{R S})-5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{M e}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.55-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.89$ (ddt, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10,7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=$ ), 5.66 (ddd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,11,9, \mathrm{CHCH}=$ ), $5.02-4.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.95\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5,9, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}$, SCHCHH ), $2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 134.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=10, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $145.2,138.6(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 114.6,111.5\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 100.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $\left.=2, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 56.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=8, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 45.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 10.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $i$-Ph not observed; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 19.0$ (s). ( $S S, R R$ )-5a-Mes (partial): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 144.9,138.5(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{m}), 114.8,111.2\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 101.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 56.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=8, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 10.2(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$ $\mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}{ }^{-}\right)$. A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $\mathbf{5 a}(1.264 \mathrm{~g}$,
$1.924 \mathrm{mmol} ; 92: 8 \mathrm{SS}, R R / S R, R S$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. Then MeOTf $(218 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise with stirring. After 5 min , the cold bath was removed. After 30 min , volatiles were removed under oil pump vacuum. The oily residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was added dropwise to rapidly stirred pentane ( 75 mL ). An orange powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 20 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.421 \mathrm{~g}, 1.731 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \% ; 92: 8 S S, R R /$ $S R, R S$ ) $)^{44 \mathrm{a}}$ B. Complex $5 \mathrm{a}(0.556 \mathrm{~g}, 0.846 \mathrm{mmol} ; 92: 8 \mathrm{SS} / S R), \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{MeOTf}(96 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.630$ g, $0.753 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \% ; 92: 8 \mathrm{SS} / S R$ ).

NMR, (SS)-7a+ $\mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : $^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.44-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.69-5.58$, $5.38-5.30(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 5.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.50-5.43,5.11-5.05(2 \mathrm{~m}$, $2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.38(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.48,2.26\left(2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCHH}, \mathrm{SCHCHH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right)$, $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=57\right.$, $i-\mathrm{Ph}), 131.7(\mathrm{~s}, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 133.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=$; other $\mathrm{CH}=$ obscured), $123.7,118.9\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 93.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 61.9$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=3, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 37.6(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH} 2), 25.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 10.8(\mathrm{~s})$. (SR)-7a+ $\mathrm{TfO}^{-}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 2.06$ (s, $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.4$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathbf{7 b}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}{ }^{-}\right)$. A. Complex $\mathbf{5 b}(0.862 \mathrm{~g}, 1.26$ $\mathrm{mmol} ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\operatorname{MeOTf}(142 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.26$ mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $7 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. An identical workup gave $7 \mathbf{b}^{+}$TfO ${ }^{-}$as an orange powder $(0.955 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \% ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S$ ). ${ }^{44 \mathrm{~d}}$ B. Complex (SS)-5b ( 1.26 g, $1.84 \mathrm{mmol} ;>99.5:<0.5 S S / S R$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOTf (208 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave $(S S)-7 \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$; $>99.5$ : $<0.5 S S, S R$ ).

NMR, (SS).7b+ $\mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right):^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.53-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $5.30,5.12,4.86,4.76\left(4 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}, 2=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right.$ ), 3.70 (apparent $\mathrm{dd}, J=13,4, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.53-2.48,2.26-2.21\left(2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{SCHCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.78,1.63\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2, p-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 140.6,137.9\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right), 121.4,114.2$ $\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 64.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=3, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 39.9(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 25.5,16.5\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 10.7$ (s). $(S R, R S)-7 \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}:{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.2$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{M e}) \mathbf{C H}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathbf{7} \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)$. A. Complex $5 \mathbf{c}(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 0.813$ mmol; 93:7 SS,RR/SR,RS), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOTf ( $88 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.80$ mmol ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. A similar workup gave $7 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$as an orange powder $(0.624 \mathrm{~g}, 0.712$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$; 93:7 SS,RR/SR,RS). ${ }^{44 \mathrm{a}}$ B. Complex $5 \mathrm{c}(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$; 97:3 SS/SR), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOTf ( $168 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. After 30 min , the solution was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 100 mL ). Solvent was removed from the THF rinse by rotary evaporation. The orange foam was dried under oil pump vacuum ( 12 h ) and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (10 mL ). Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum ( 12 h ) to give $7 \mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$as an orange powder ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$; $97: 3 \mathrm{SS}, S R$ ).

NMR, (SS)-7c ${ }^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.37-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.64$ (dd, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,11, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.01$ (br d, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=12$, $\mathrm{SCHCH}=), 4.95-4.85\left(\mathrm{~m},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.75,1.61\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 0.92,0.85\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCHCCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 132.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}\right.$ $=3, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 142.8,140.8(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=)$, $120.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{CF}}=321, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 115.8,113.9\left(2 \mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.5$ (s, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $71.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 67.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 25.7,25.3,23.3$, 23.2, $18.5\left(5 \mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.9$ (s). (SR)-7 $\mathrm{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$(partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $5.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 92.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.
 $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathbf{7 e}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)$. Complex 5e (0.302 g, 0.441 mmol ; 52:45:2:1 $S R S, R S R / S R R, R S S / S S S, R R R$ or $S S R, R R S), \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\operatorname{MeOTf}(49.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.441 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. After 10 min , the cold bath was removed. After 30 min , the mixture was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 100 mL ). Solvent was removed from the THF rinse under aspirator and oil pump vacuum ( 24 h ) to give $7 \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$as an orange
powder ( $0.317 \mathrm{~g}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \% ; 50: 47.5: 1.5: 1 \quad S R S, R S R / S R R, R S S /$ $S S S, R R R$ or $S S R, R R S$ ).

NMR, (SRS,RSR $)$ - and (SRR,RSS)-7e $\left.\mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\right)^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.49-$ $7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{Ph}), 6.05-5.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.77-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.64,5.62\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.27-5.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2\right.$ $\mathrm{CHCH}=$ ), $3.33-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}), 2.83-2.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.07,1.99\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.12,1.02\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $\left.7,2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11,2 o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.05,132.00$ ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=57,2 i-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), $131.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 p-\mathrm{Ph}), 129.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=10,2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $139.9,137.0,136.7,136.5,122.6,122.5(6 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}=), 117.4,115.5(2$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 68.4,66.8\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1,2 \mathrm{SCH}\right), 40.1$, $39.5\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5,24.7,18.6,18.08,18.06,14.4(6 \mathrm{~s}, 6$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 10.8,10.4(2 \mathrm{~s}) .(S S S, R R R)$ - and $(S S R, R R S)-7 \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$ (partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 2.14,2.04\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.18,1.06\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7,2\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.7,11.5(2 \mathrm{~s})$.
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{N O})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{M e}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}{ }^{\left(7 \mathbf{7}^{+} \mathbf{T f O}^{-}\right)}$. Complex $\mathbf{5 f}(0.369 \mathrm{~g}, 0.480$ mmol ; 88:11:1:<0.5 $S R R, R S S / S R S, R S R /$ other), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOTf ( $54.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$. After 30 min , heptane ( 20 mL ) was added. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $7 \mathrm{f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 0.440 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$; 94:6 SRR,RSS/SRS,RSR).

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S R R}, \boldsymbol{R S S})-\mathbf{7 f} \mathbf{f f O}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.57-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{Ph}), 5.83$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 5.62\left(\mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,10, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,1,=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.02(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,1,=\mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.99\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,4, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $\left.10,4, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.08,0.93\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=10, o-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=54, i-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ 2, $p-\mathrm{Ph}$ ), $129.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 149.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 136.4(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.=C \mathrm{HC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 119.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 118.3\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $66.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 57.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.1,33.9\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 29.12$, $29.10\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.4$ (s). (SRS,RSR)$7^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$(partial): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 93.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 29.0,28.7\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 9.9$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}\left(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}\right)$. A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $\mathbf{5 a}$ ( $0.816 \mathrm{~g}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol} ; 93: 7 \mathrm{SS}, R R / S R, R S$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}(0.404 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. After 12 h , the solution was concentrated to ca. 10 mL , and ether ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise. The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pentane ( 20 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(1.056 \mathrm{~g}, 1.210 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \% ; 93: 7 S S, R R / S R, R S)$. B. Complex $5 \mathrm{a}(0.524 \mathrm{~g}, 0.796 \mathrm{mmol} ; 93: 7 \mathrm{SS} / \mathrm{SR}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}(0.260 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave $10 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 0.653$ mmol, $82 \%$; 93:7 SS/SR). ${ }^{44 \mathrm{a}}$

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{S S}, \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R})-\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right){ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.50-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{PPh}), 7.17-$ $6.96(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CPh}), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.65-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 5.34-5.27$, 5.06-5.02 ( $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.24, $3.56\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=14, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH} H^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ ), $3.50(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.39,2.32\left(2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCHH}, \mathrm{SCHCH} H^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 133.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 132.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56, i-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=2\right.$, $p-\mathrm{PPh}), 129.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 134.7,133.9(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 132.8$, 129.6, 129.4, $128.0(4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CPh}), 122.4,118.8\left(2 \mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 59.2 (s, SCH ), 47.2, $\left.37.4(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CPh}, \mathrm{SCHCH})_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.0$ (s). $(S R, R S)-10 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$(partial): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 92.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.3$ (s).
$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}\left(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}\right)$. A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $(S S)-5 b(0.631 \mathrm{~g}, 0.920 \mathrm{mmol} ;>99.5:<0.5 S S / S R)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Then $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}(0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. After 16 h , the solution was concentrated to ca .10 mL , and ether ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pentane ( 20 mL ), and chromatographed on silica gel ( $20 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) with $2: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Solvent was removed from a yellow fraction by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and ether ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise. The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with ether ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pentane ( 30 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give (SS)-10b $\mathrm{I}^{-}(0.657 \mathrm{~g}, 0.727 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \% ;>99.5:<0.5$ $S S / S R$ ). B. Complex 5b ( $0.780 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol} ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S$ ),
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}(0.373 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave $\mathbf{1 0 b}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(0.865$ g, $0.958 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \% ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S) .{ }^{44 \mathrm{~d}}$

NMR, (SS) -10b ${ }^{+} \mathbf{I}^{-}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.76-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{PPh}), 7.39-$ $6.80(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CPh}), 6.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 5.79,5.00,4.86\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 1: 2: 1,2=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)$, 5.16, 3.93 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, J=15, \mathrm{CH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH} H^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 4.26 (apparent dd, $J=$ $13,4, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.80,2.55\left(2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SCHCH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 1.99,1.45(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $133.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, o-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=57\right.$, $i-\mathrm{PPh}), 131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, p-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11, m-\mathrm{PPh}\right), 140.4$, $137.7\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right.$ ), 135.0, 129.0, 127.8, $127.3(4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CPh}), 121.1$, $113.5\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 92.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 62.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=1, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 49.6(\mathrm{~s}$, CPh $), 40.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH} C \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 22.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 12.2$ (s). $(S R, R S)-$ $\mathbf{1 0 b}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}:{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 11.6$ (s).
$\operatorname{MeSCH}\left(\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (8a). A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $7 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.375 \mathrm{~g}, 1.675 \mathrm{mmol} ; 92: 8 S S, R R / S R, R S)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.392 \mathrm{~g}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. After 30 min , the sample was concentrated to an oily residue under oil pump vacuum, ${ }^{47}$ which was triturated with ether ( 100 mL ). The yellow suspension was filtered through a 4 cm silica gel plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with ether $(10 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.5 mL and distilled under oil pump vacuum ( $25-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) into a liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-cooled receiver. This gave previously reported ${ }^{19} 8 \mathbf{a}$ as a colorless liquid $(0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%)$. The plug was rinsed with THF ( $7 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the rinses were concentrated to 50 mL . Heptane ( 50 mL ) was added, and the mixture was concentrated to ca. 15 mL . The yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 30 mL ), and dried under oil pump vacuum to give $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CN})\left(9 ;{ }^{17} 0.828 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, $87 \%$ ). B. Complex $7 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} ; 92: 8 S S / S R), \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.316 \mathrm{~g}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave ( $S$ )-9 (0.716 g, $\left.1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \% ;>98 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}\right)$ and $(S)-8 \mathbf{a}(0.117 \mathrm{~g}, 0.911$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$; 84\% ee, $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) / \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ analysis $^{21}$ of $115.4 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 65.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.43$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.44$; H, $9.38 .{ }^{44 \mathrm{c}}$

NMR, $8 \mathbf{a}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.81$ (ddt, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10,7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=$ ), 5.60 $\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10,9, \mathrm{CHCH}=\right), 5.12-4.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, SCH ), 2.37 (apparent tq, $\left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7,1, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 138.2,135.2(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 116.8,115.4\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.9(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{SCH}), 38.4$ (s, SCHCH 2 ), $13.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{MeSCH}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)=\mathbf{C H}_{2}$ (8b). A. Complex $7 \mathbf{b}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.022 \mathrm{~g}, 1.203 \mathrm{mmol} ; 98: 2 S S, R R / S R, R S), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.292 \mathrm{~g}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $8 \mathbf{8 a}$. An identical workup gave $9(0.589 \mathrm{~g}, 1.04$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%)$ and $\mathbf{8 b}(0.122 \mathrm{~g}, 0.781 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ as a colorless liquid. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 69.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.32$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 69.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.41$. B. Complex (SS)-7b ${ }^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol} ;>99.5:<0.5 S S / S R), \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.285 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave ( $S$ )-9 (0.735 $\mathrm{g}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \% ;>98 \% e e$ ) and ( $S$ )-8b ( $0.142 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$; $>98 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) / \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ analysis ${ }^{21}$ of $112.6 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal). Anal. Found: C, 69.28; H, 10.38. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{cc}}$

NMR, $8 \mathbf{b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 4.85-4.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8\right.$, $\mathrm{SCH}), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 143.2,142.7\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right), 113.4,112.6\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $52.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 40.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 21.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 16.8,14.2(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\operatorname{MeSCH}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (8c). A. Complex $7 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 0.650 \mathrm{mmol}, 93: 7 \mathrm{SS}, R R / S R, R S), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.156 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 8a. Similar workup and distillation (Kugelrohr, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.2$ Torr) gave previously reported ${ }^{2 b} \cdot 208 \mathrm{c}$ as a colorless liquid ( $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \% ; 9,0.330 \mathrm{~g}, 0.579 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ). B. Complex $7 \mathbf{c}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol} ; 97: 3 \mathrm{SS} / \mathrm{SR}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.276 \mathrm{~g}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure identical to A. A similar workup gave $(S)-8 \mathbf{c}(0.163 \mathrm{~g}, 0.886 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \% ; 92 \%$ $e e, \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ analysis ${ }^{21}$ of $123.3 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal; $(S)-9$, $0.604 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \% ;>98 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 71.67$; $\mathrm{H}, 10.94$. Found: C, $71.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.87 \mathrm{.}^{44 \mathrm{c}}$

NMR, 8c $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,11, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}$ sept, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11,1, \mathrm{SCHCH}=$ ), $5.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11,1,=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.97$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,1,=\mathrm{CH} H^{\prime}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$,
1.78, $1.62\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1,2=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 1.09,1.06\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCHCCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 145.8,123.3(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 133.8\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 111.8(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.5(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 40.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 26.1,25.8,23.9,18.2,14.2$ ( $5 \mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\mathbf{M e S C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C H}_{3}(\mathbf{8 e})$. Complex $7 \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}$ ( $0.317 \mathrm{~g}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol} ; 50: 47.5: 1.5: 1$ diastereomer mixture), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for $\mathbf{8 a}$. The oily residue was triturated with $1: 1$ pentane/ether ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The bright yellow suspension was filtered through a 4 cm silica gel plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with $9: 1$ pentane/ether ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 70 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Further workup and distillation (Kugelrohr, ca. $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.1 \mathrm{Torr}$ ) as with 8a gave 8e as a colorless liquid ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \% ; 52: 48 R S, S R / R R, S S ; 9,0.189$ g, $0.331 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 69.17$; H, 10.32. Found: C, 69.04; H, 10.24 .

NMR, $(R S, S R)$ - and $(R R, S S)-8 e\left(C_{C D C l}^{3}\right)::^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.87-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 5.42 (apparent dq, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6,15,2=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $5.29-5.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=), 5.06-4.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.97-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{SCH})$, $2.48-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.95,1.94\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.719,1.715$ $\left(2 \mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6,2,2=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.07,1.06\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7,2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 141.6,140.7,129.9,129.4,127.4,127.3(6 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}=), 114.6$, $114.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.8,55.6(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{SCH}), 42.2,41.6(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.1,17.9,17.5,14.3,14.2\left(5 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{MeSCH}\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{8 f})$. Complex $7 \mathrm{f}^{+} \mathrm{TfO}^{-}\left(0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 0.440 \mathrm{mmol} ; 94: 6\right.$ diastereomer mixture), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.0721 \mathrm{~g}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 8a. The oily residue was dissolved in ether and filtered through a 5 cm silica gel plug on a frit. The plug was rinsed with ether ( 200 mL ), and solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. Distillation (Kugelrohr, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.05$ torr) gave 8 f as a colorless liquid ( $0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.240 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$; $94: 6$ $R R, S S / S R, R S ; 9,0.212 \mathrm{~g}, 0.370 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). Calcd exact mass, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~S}: 240.19116$; Found: 240.19276.

NMR, $(\boldsymbol{R R}, \boldsymbol{S S})=8 \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 5.82\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,10, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.22\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=15,9, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, $5.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,2,=\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,2,=\mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.20$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=9,5, \mathrm{SCH}\right), 1.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11,5, \mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.03,0.97\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 141.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 137.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.=C H C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 126.2(\mathrm{~s},=C \mathrm{HCHS}), 117.8\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.4(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH})$, $51.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.9,33.2\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.1,29.1(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 14.6\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) .(S R, R S)-8 f($ partial $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 3.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10,3\right.$, $\mathrm{SCH}), 2.07\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=11,3, \mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.04,0.91$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 143.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 135.4\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $122.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 118.8\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 51.2(\mathrm{~s}$, CHCH= $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9,28.5\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.3\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathbf{S C H}\left(\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (11a). A. A Schlenk flask was charged with $10 \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(0.954 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol} ; 93: 7 \mathrm{SS}, R R / S R, R S)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added with stirring. After 1 h , the solution was concentrated to an oily residue (ca. 2 mL ) under oil pump vacuum, which was triturated with ether ( 50 mL ). The yellow suspension was filtered through a 3 cm silica gel plug on a frit, which was rinsed with ether ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 20 mL and transferred to a tared flask. Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum to give 11a as a faint yellow oil ( $0.208 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 76.42$; H, 7.89. Found: C, 76.32 ; H, 8.12. Complex $9(0.520 \mathrm{~g}, 0.913 \mathrm{mmol}$, $84 \%$ ) was isolated as in the preparation of $\mathbf{8 a}$. B. Complex $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$ ( $0.480 \mathrm{~g}, 0.550 \mathrm{mmol} ; 93: 7 \mathrm{SS} / \mathrm{SR}$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$ $(0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 0.824 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to $\mathbf{A}$. An identical workup gave a red liquid, which was chromatographed on silica gel ( $26 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) with $9: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ hexane/ether to give ( S )-11a as a faint yellow liquid ( $0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \% ; 86 \% \mathrm{ee}$, $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) / \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ analysis ${ }^{21}$ of $134.9 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal; ( $(5)-9,0.291$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.512 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \% ;>98 \% e e) .{ }^{44 \mathrm{c}}$ Anal. Found: C, 76.31; H, 7.92 .

NMR, 11a $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.24-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 5.73-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{CH}=), 5.12-4.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.60,3.53\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.04(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.27(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{SCHCH})_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} 138.5,134.9$ $(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}=), 138.4(\mathrm{~s}, i-\mathrm{Ph}), 128.9,128.4(2 \mathrm{~s}, o, m-\mathrm{Ph}), 126.8(\mathrm{~s}$, $p$ - Ph ), $116.9,115.8\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 38.5,34.9(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CPh}$, SCHCH 2 ).
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathbf{1 1 b})$. A. $10 \mathrm{~b}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$ ( $0.761 \mathrm{~g}, 0.843 \mathrm{mmol}$; $98: 2 \mathrm{SS}, R R / S R, R S$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.198 \mathrm{~g}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 11a. An identical workup gave 11b as a faint yellow liquid $(0.167 \mathrm{~g}, 0.717 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \% ; 9,0.437 \mathrm{~g}, 0.767 \mathrm{mmol}$, $91 \%$ ). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 77.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.67$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.64. B. Complex (SS)-10b ${ }^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}(0.592 \mathrm{~g}, 0.655 \mathrm{mmol} ;>99.5:<0.5$ $S S / S R), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{CN}^{-}(0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.786 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in a procedure analogous to that given for ( $S$ )-11a. An identical workup gave $(S)-11 b$ as a faint yellow liquid $(0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.537$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$; $>98 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{fod}) / \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ analysis $^{21}$ of $112.6 \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal; (S)-9, $0.339 \mathrm{~g}, 0.596 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \% ;>98 \% e e)$. Anal. Found: C, 77.48; H, 8.74.44c

NMR, 11b $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{42}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.31-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.92-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.60,3.56\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $8, \mathrm{SCH}), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8, \mathrm{SCHCH}_{2}\right), 1.78,1.64\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $143.5,142.4\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\right.$ ), 138.4, 128.9, $128.3,126.7(4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ph})$, 113.7, $112.6\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}), 40.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CPh}), 35.3(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{SCHCH})_{2}$, 21.7, $16.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Crystallography. Data were collected as outlined in Table 1. Cell constants were obtained from reflections $\left((S)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}, 25\right.$ with $25^{\circ}$ $<2 \theta<30^{\circ}$; (SS)-5a, 25 with $30^{\circ}<2 \theta<35^{\circ}$; (SRR,RSS)-5f, 21 with $14^{\circ}<2 \theta<30^{\circ} ;(S R, R S)-5 a-\mathrm{Me}_{5}, 30$ with $\left.10^{\circ}<2 \theta<15^{\circ}\right)$. Space groups were determined from systematic absences ( $(S)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$and $(S R R, R S S)-5 f$, none; $(S S)-5 a, 0 k 0 k=2 n ;(S R, R S)-5 a-\mathrm{Me}_{5}, 0 k l h+k=$ $2 n+1, h 0 l h=2 n+1$ ) and subsequent least-squares refinements. Lorentz, polarization, and empirical absorption ( $\psi$ scans) corrections were applied. The structures were solved by standard heavy-atom techniques with the SDP-VAX package. ${ }^{48}$ The absolute configurations of $(S)-4 \mathbf{a}^{+} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}{ }^{-}$and (SS)-5a were established by two independent methods (Roger's $\eta$ parameters, 1.029(9) and 0.998(2);49 Flack's $x$ parameters, $0.005(5)$ and $\left.0.012(10)^{50}\right)$. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal pararneters. Hydrogen atoms positions were calculated and added to the structure factor calculations but were not refined, except for H 21 in $(S R R, R S S)-5 f$, which was located and refined. Scattering factors, and $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime}$ values, were taken from the literature. ${ }^{51}$
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